

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/646,525	08/21/2003	William Daniel Meisburger	56684-0305340	8919
27498	7590 08/31/2005		EXAMINER	
PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN LLP P.O. BOX 10500 MCLEAN, VA 22102			THOMAS, BRANDI N	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2873	
			DATE MAILED: 08/31/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

for

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comment	10/646,525	MEISBURGER, WILLIAM DANIEL				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Brandi N. Thomas	2873				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on Amendment filed on 6/9/05.						
, 	·					
,—	,					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>23-44 and 63-68</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>63-68</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>23-39,41,43 and 44</u> is/are rejected.)⊠ Claim(s) <u>23-39,41,43 and 44</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) 40 and 42 is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>21 August 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	4) ☐ Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) ☐ Notice of Informal P 6) ☑ Other: <u>Detailed Acti</u>	ate atent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2873

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 23-39, 41, 43, and 44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Jain et al. (6312134 B1).

Regarding claim 23, Jain et al. discloses, in figure 1, a lithographic tool for patterning a substrate, comprising: a spatial light modulator (3), said spatial light modulator (3) comprising at least one area array of individually switchable elements (array of micromirrors, individual mirrors tilt in different directions) (Col. 7, lines 12-16); a light source (1) configured to illuminate said spatial light modulator (3) (col. 7, lines 11-12); imaging optics (4) configured to project a blurred image of said spatial light modulator (3) on said substrate (5) (col. 7, lines 61-67, col. 8, lines 1-2, and col. 8, lines 30-34); and an image movement mechanism for moving said image across the surface of said substrate (5) (col. 7, lines 30-34 and 66).

Regarding claim 24, Jain et al. discloses, in figure 1, a lithographic tool for patterning a substrate, wherein said spatial light modulator (3) comprises at least one digital micro-mirror device (col. 7, line 13).

Regarding claim 25, Jain et al. discloses, in figure 1, a lithographic tool for patterning a substrate, wherein said light source (1) is a continuous light source (col. 8, lines 18-21).

Art Unit: 2873

Regarding claim 26, Jain et al. discloses, in figure 1, a lithographic tool for patterning a substrate, wherein said light source (1) is an arc lamp (col. 2, line 35 and col. 8, lines 23-25).

Regarding claim 27, Jain et al. discloses, in figure 1, a lithographic tool for patterning a substrate, wherein said light source (1) is a laser (col. 7, line 46).

Regarding claim 28, Jain et al. discloses, in figure 1, a lithographic tool for patterning a substrate, wherein said laser is a continuous laser (col. 8, lines 18-21).

Regarding claim 29, Jain et al. discloses, in figure 1, a lithographic tool for patterning a substrate, wherein said laser is a quasi-continuous laser (col. 8, lines 18-21).

Regarding claim 30, Jain et al. discloses, in figure 1, a lithographic tool for patterning a substrate, wherein said imaging optics (4) is a telecentric projection lens system (col. 7, lines 16-18).

Regarding claim 31, Jain et al. discloses, in figure 1, a lithographic tool for patterning a substrate, wherein said imaging optics (4) is configured to form a defocused image of said spatial light modulator (3) (col. 7, lines 19-23).

Regarding claim 32, Jain et al. discloses, in figure 1, a lithographic tool for patterning a substrate, wherein said imaging optics (4) that blurs said image of said spatial light modulator (3) (col. 7, lines 61-67, col. 8, lines 1-2, and col. 8, lines 30-34) but does not specifically disclose the use of a diffuser. However, it is inherent to use a diffuser this being reasonably based upon a diffuser scatters or disperses light emitted from a source.

Regarding claim 33, Jain et al. discloses, in figure 1, a lithographic tool for patterning a substrate, wherein said imaging optics (4) has a numerical aperture (NA) adjusted such that said

Art Unit: 2873

image of said spatial light modulator (3) is blurred (col. 8, lines 31-8 and 58-67 and col. 9, lines 1-2).

Regarding claim 34, Jain et al. discloses, in figure 1, a lithographic tool for patterning a substrate, wherein said imaging optics (4) comprises a microlens array configured to blur said image of said spatial light modulator (3) (col. 10, line 33).

Regarding claim 35, Jain et al. discloses, in figure 4, a lithographic tool for patterning a substrate, wherein said imaging optics (4) comprises a single projection lens system (col. 7, lines 16-20).

Regarding claim 36, Jain et al. discloses, in figure 4, a lithographic tool for patterning a substrate, wherein said imaging optics (4) comprises a projection lens system, but does not specifically disclose a projection lens system for each said area array. However, it is inherent to include a system for each array this being reasonably based upon each array reflect an image onto the spatial light modulator.

Regarding claim 37, Jain et al. discloses, in figure 1, a lithographic tool for patterning a substrate, wherein said image movement mechanism comprises a stage (6) on which said substrate (5) is carried (col. 7, line 18).

Regarding claim 38, Jain et al. discloses, in figure 1, a lithographic tool for patterning a substrate, wherein said image movement mechanism comprises a stage (6) on which said spatial light modulator (3) is carried (col. 7, lines 13-18).

Regarding claim 39, Jain et al. discloses, in figure 1, a lithographic tool for patterning a substrate, wherein said imaging optics (4) is carried on said stage (6).

Regarding claim 41, Jain et al. discloses, in figure 1, a lithographic tool for patterning a substrate, further comprising a control computer (7) configured to control switching said elements of said spatial light modulator while said image is moving across the surface of said substrate (5) (col. 7, lines 24-30).

Regarding claims 43 and 44, Jain et al. discloses, in figure 1, a lithographic tool for patterning a substrate, comprising: a spatial light modulator (3), said spatial light modulator (3) comprising a multiplicity of area arrays of individually switchable elements (array of micromirrors, individual mirrors tilt in different directions) (Col. 7, lines 12-16); a light source (1) configured to illuminate said spatial light modulator (3) (col. 7, lines 11-12); a multiplicity of projection lens systems (4) configured to project a blurred image of said spatial light modulator (3) on said substrate (5) (col. 7, lines 61-67, col. 8, lines 1-2, and col. 8, lines 30-34); and an image movement mechanism for moving said image across the surface of said substrate (5); wherein the number of said area arrays is greater than the number of said projection lens systems (col. 7, lines 16-18).

Allowable Subject Matter

- 3. Claims 63-68 are allowed.
- 4. Claims 40 and 42 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 5. The prior art taken either singularly or in combination fails to anticipate or fairly suggest the limitations of the independent claim(s), in such a manner that a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102 or 103 would be proper. The prior art fails to teach a combination of all the claimed features as

Application/Control Number: 10/646,525 Page 6

Art Unit: 2873

presented in claim(s) 40, 42, and 63-68, wherein the claimed invention comprises wherein said image movement mechanism comprises rotatable, spaced apart, axially parallel film drums, said substrate being wrapped around and tensioned between said drums; a light switching mechanism positioned on a light path, said light path going from said light source to said spatial light modulator and ending at said substrate, said light switching mechanism being configured to control passage of light along said light path; and a second spatial light modulator positioned on a light path, said light path going from said light source to said first spatial light modulator and ending at said substrate, said second spatial light modulator being configured to control passage of light along said light path, as claimed.

Response to Arguments

- 6. Applicant's arguments filed 6/9/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Claims 63-68 are acknowledged as independents claims and have now been changed to be allowable. Regarding the prior art reference, the examiner inadvertently listed a reference (Jain 6,312,134) previously cited by the applicant.
- 7. Applicant's arguments fail to comply with 37 CFR 1.111(b) because they amount to a general allegation that the claims define a patentable invention without specifically pointing out how the language of the claims patentably distinguishes them from the references.
- 8. Applicant's arguments do not comply with 37 CFR 1.111(c) because they do not clearly point out the patentable novelty which he or she thinks the claims present in view of the state of the art disclosed by the references cited or the objections made. Further, they do not show how the amendments avoid such references or objections.

Art Unit: 2873

Conclusion

9. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brandi N. Thomas whose telephone number is 571-272-2341. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Georgia Epps can be reached on 571-272-2328. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/646,525 Page 8

Art Unit: 2873

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

BNT

August 26, 2005

RICKY L. MACK PRIMARY EXAMINER